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Objective

- To help identify areas of agreement, disagreement or confusion on issues related to comparative effectiveness research.
- Definitions, assertions, assumptions and conclusions presented here are for purposes of discussion only.
RESOLVED

- A new Center for Comparative Effectiveness Research should be established in the United States
- The Center will provide information on the relative clinical (and cost) effectiveness of alternative health care interventions
- It shall be funded at the level of $4 to 6 billion dollars annually
- So far, so good (?)
WHY?

- Help payers make better coverage and spending decisions (Wilenksy)
- Help patients and clinicians become informed, cost-conscious decision makers?
- Reduce cost
- Reduce variations in care
- Improve quality and safety
- Sustain innovation
- Improve value
WHAT?

- Comparative Effectiveness Research compares the benefits, risks (and costs) of health care option A to health care option B (and C, etc).
- Options A and B will usually be a drug, devices, procedure, or diagnostic.
- “Effectiveness” implies preference for “real world” performance.
WHAT? CMS VIEW

- National Emphysema Treatment Trial
- Pragmatic trial of FDG-PET for suspected dementia
- Head to head trial of drug treatment for macular degeneration
- Daily vs conventional dialysis for ESRD
- Dose comparison studies for CIA
WHAT? Other Options

- Part D drug class comparisons
- Comparing providers on quality/cost
- Condition-based decision guides
  - Drugs, exercise, observation for severe osteoporosis
- Geographic variations and other HSR
HOW? (and how much?)

- Prospective clinical studies
- Observational studies with EMR data
- Observational studies with integrated administrative data
- Systematic reviews / HTA
- Health services research
Chronic Wound Therapy

- $20 billion spent on care of chronic wounds
- NPWT in top 20 list for CMS spending on DME
- CER question: is NPWT better than standard wound care for treating chronic wounds
- HTA excludes all observational studies
- 6 RCTs, all low quality, 5 with N<25
- Highlights need for robust capacity for trials
WHO?
Existing Capacity / Models

- NIH (NETT, ALLHAT, CATIE, LABS)
- Life Sciences Industry (stents, lipids)
- Veterans Administration
- CMS (various CED projects)
- European / Canadian PCT funders
- AHRQ (Effective Health Care)
- BCBSA, EPCs, DERP, ECRI, Hayes
- NICE, PBAC, other INAHTA
- (ICER, CMTP)
WHERE?
Lessons from the past

- National Center for Healthcare Tech
- National Emphysema Treatment Trial
- Office of Technology Assessment
- AHCPR to AHRQ / CPG to EPC
- Technology Advisory Cmte / MCAC
Plausible Interpretations

- Small is beautiful, but not sturdy
- Creating evidence is safe; making decisions is not
- Hazards of compulsive truth-telling
  - John Eisenberg’s allegory
- Lack of transparency, stakeholder input, public accountability, appeals
A few major wild cards

- Will CMS and private payers widely adopt CED approach?
- What can be learned from massively integrated data?
- How and when will broad EMR change approach to clinical research?
- What are the implications of personalized medicine on conduct of CER?
What Next and When?

- IOM roundtable on EBM
- HIF / AHIP / Kaiser / Merck alliance
- Legislative proposals
- Proof of concept approach
  - ICER, CMTP
- More, better ideas by 3PM today
Objective

- To help identify areas of agreement, disagreement or confusion on issues related to comparative effectiveness research
- Looking forward to further clarity from presentation and discussion to follow
Contact Info

- sean.tunis@cmtpnet.org
- 410-963-8876
Center for Medical Technology Policy (CMTP)

- Non-profit funded by CHCF, BSCF
- Platform to expand role for decision makers in creating better evidence

Activities:
- Identify priorities of decision makers
- Develop faster, cheaper methods
- Establish standards for effectiveness
- Select and design pilot projects
Pilot projects

Criteria: evidence gaps, promising, high demand, major health impact

- CT Angiography
- Oncotype DX test
- IMRT
- Tele-ICU
- Bariatric surgery