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Provider Goals

- Pay for “value” delivered
- Accountability for what providers control
- Incentives aligned with patient needs
- Minimize non-value-added work
- Health plan does not perform medical management
- Simple finances with prompt reliable payment
- Full risk adjustment (at least theoretically)
Pay for Performance: Design Elements from the Provider Perspective

• Payment Methodology
  – Fee for service vs. capitation
  – Exclusions from “global” budget
  – Annual “inflation” rate

• Pay for Performance Methodology
  – Many measures vs. few measures
  – Process measures vs. outcome measures
  – Claims measures vs. EMR measures
  – Bonus vs. withhold
Jeff’s Provider Wish List

FROM
- Mostly fee for service
- Payers and Providers each make huge investments in promoting or denying payments
- Long claims delays
- Health care delivery at both health plan and provider network
- Variable quality
- Confused, disheartened patients

TO
- Capitation for services often overutilized; fee for service for underutilized services
- Plans and providers together create operational efficiencies
- Instant adjudication
- Health care delivery in provider network only
- Reliable high quality
- Engaged patients